

香港合資格環保專業人員學會有限公司(香港環專會)

Public Engagement on Control of Single-Use Plastics

The Hong Kong Institute of Qualified Environmental Professionals Limited (HKIQEP) welcomes the public engagement on Control of Single-Use Plastics by the Council for Sustainable Development on behalf of the Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (Government). We would like to provide our comments and suggestions from an environmental professional perspective and urge the Government to finalize the strategy as soon as possible to tackle this major environmental issue.

Single-Use Plastics as a Major Environmental Issue

Hong Kong disposed of about 2,300 tonnes of plastic wastes every day in 2019 and most of them were generated from the use of single-use plastic products. These plastic wastes are harmful not only to our local environment, but also posing a great challenge to the global marine ecology, human health, climate change and many other aspects.

Single-use plastic products, such as packaging materials, plastic shopping bags, umbrella bags, festival celebration products and toiletries provided by hotels, are creating significant adverse impacts on our environment and sustainability because they are rapidly discarded after brief use and difficult to be recycled. Due to their light weight and positive buoyancy, many single-use plastic products float and spread widely across the sea. They will further break apart easily into tiny pieces known as "microplastics" that are difficult to remove from the marine environment. In addition, they can find their way into the bodies of marine species and, eventually, our food chain, thereby threatening our health.

The Government should implement a comprehensive and effective control programme to control single-use plastic products as soon as possible



香港合資格環保專業人員學會有限公司(香港環專會)

Products to be Controlled

The HKIQEP considers that all hard-to-recycle single-use plastic products, except those for essential uses, e.g., medical, life-saving and health purposes, should be banned. A banning schedule with priority to those which:

- (i) have successful banning experience overseas;
- (ii) are particularly problematic in causing adverse environmental impacts;
- (iii) are non-essential, unnecessary or without much demonstrated need;
- (iv) have available sustainable alternatives.

should be established and implemented as soon as possible by the Government with a view to banning these products within 3 years.

Furthermore, the HKIQEP supports the banning of oxo-degradable plastic products. Due to their inability to truly biodegrade and their contribution to micro-plastic pollution, these products are extremely problematic. Therefore, multiple countries and international bodies including but not limited to the European Union, Canada and Australia have already implemented policies to phase out oxo-degradable plastic products. Thus, the HKIQEP advocates the prohibition of products containing oxo-degradable plastic in Hong Kong.

Prior to the completion of the product banning, the Government should consider taking all other regulatory measures, including levy charging on single-use plastic produces, limiting the use of virgin plastics as raw materials, mandatory labelling of the virgin and recycled plastic contents. In addition, the Government should also introduce regulatory measures to prohibit unnecessary or excessive plastic packaging, including those used in local logistic and on-line shopping.



香港合資格環保專業人員學會有限公司(香港環專會)

Enhancing the Existing Plastic Shopping Bag Charging Scheme

While the achievement of the Plastic Shopping Bag (PSB) Charging Scheme is commendable, it is evident that there are still plenty of rooms for enhancement in view of that about 4 billion PSBs were still being used and disposed of in 2019. There is a need for the Government to strengthen the control to ensure no PSB will be used and wasted, other than for genuine health and hygiene reasons.

The current charge of HK\$0.5 for each PSB, which was set in 2009 and has not been adjusted since then, is no longer sufficient to discourage the public from using and wasting PSB. To be more effective in reducing PSB consumption, the Government should increase the charge significantly to a level that is no lenient than any of other overseas economies.

The HKIQEP considers that most of the exemptions provided under the existing PSB Charging Scheme are not necessary and may, to certain extent, be misused as an excuse for over-packaging. It is not appropriate to further exempt those PSBs carrying frozen/chilled foodstuff in airtight packaging as well as those foodstuffs already fully wrapped by non-airtight packaging as well as those for carrying foodstuff not fully wrapped by any packaging (e.g. bread sold at bakeries, fruits sold at wet market)

Education and Knowledge Sharing

Education and awareness are essential elements in making behavioural changes. The HKIQEP agrees that there is an urgent need for developing a platform for sharing information alternatives among different stakeholders and educating the public. In addition, it is also important for the Government to let the public fully informed of the downstream costs and perverse outcomes of using single-use plastics products to our environment, economy and society.

On the other hand, as many people are concerned about the potential health impacts of stopping the use of disposable and single-use plastic items, it would be necessary for the Government to provide correct and relevant information to help address these negative perceptions.

The HKIQEP also agrees that more information on the recyclability and percentage of recycled content of a single-use plastic product is provided by the manufacturer would



香港合資格環保專業人員學會有限公司(香港環專會)

be helpful for consumers to make an informed purchase decision. It would be appropriate for the Government to consider introducing a statutory labelling system to require the disclosure of these information.

Other Issues

One of the reasons that single-use plastics are so commonly used is their comparatively cheap price. To ensure fair competition and adherence to the Polluters Pay Principle, the Government should consider imposing a plastic levy on single-use plastic products in favour of those sustainable alternatives. In view of the big challenges involved in transitioning away from single-use plastics, the Government should also consider providing incentives and other supports to help producers to develop and promote sustainable alternatives.

The HKIQEP agrees that waste management should be based on the hierarchy of prevention, and reusing, reducing consumption and recycling residual waste into beneficial products. However, the strategic role of adequate waste treatment and recycling services should not be undermined in the pursuit of the effective and successful single-use plastics programme. The HKIQEP urges the Government to ensure sufficient waste treatment and recycling capacity to treat and recycle the plastic wastes generated in an environmentally acceptable without interruption.

Response Form

Appended please find HKIQEP's completed Response Form.



Hong Kong Institute of Qualified Environmental Professionals Limited (HKIQEP) 香港合資格環保專業人員學會有限公司(香港環專會)

Annex III - Views Collection Form

Which of the following capacity are you using to respond to this views collection form?

Professional Bodies / Institutions	☐ Public Organisations	☐ Green Groups
☐ Industry Associations	☐ Companies	☐ Others
Name of Organisations / Companies:	The Hong Kong Institute of Qua Professional Limited	alified Environmental
☐ Individuals		
Email Address:		

Question (1): How concerned are you about each of the following issues with single-use plastics?

Answer:

Issues	Extent of concern (1 - Not concern, 5 - very concern)					Don't know
	1	2	3	4	5	KIIOW
Single-use plastics are littered in the natural environment, which causes pollution and harm to wildlife					X	
Use of single-use plastics increases carbon footprint and poses climate change hazard.					×	
Single-use plastics are difficult to recycle and take up valuable landfill space.					X	
The society's over-reliance on single-use plastics promotes a wasteful culture.					\mathbf{x}	



Hong Kong Institute of Qualified Environmental Professionals Limited (HKIQEP) 香港合資格環保專業人員學會有限公司(香港環專會)

Annex III - Views Collection Form

Question (2): What types of product should be put under control? For those that should be controlled, should actions be taken in short-term (within 3 years) or medium-term (3 – 5 years)? What should be the approach for controlling them?

[Remark to readers: for more examples on single-use plastic product, please refer to p.7 of this public engagement document]

Answer:

Answer.	Need to control						
Single-use plastic product		medium-term Please √)		Approach (Can choos an one option		No need to control	
	Short-term	Medium-term	Total ban	Regulatory measure	Voluntary measure	CONTO	
Local product packaging e.g. box for containing fruit / eggs	X		X				
Local retail packaging e.g. foam tray and platter for fresh fruit, meat, fish or poultry	Ø		K				
Local packaging for logistics and online shopping, e.g. plastic wrap and bubble wrap	×		×				
Festival and celebration products, e.g. inflatable cheer stick, glow stick, cutlery, stirrer, straw and plate	×		K				
Toiletries distributed by hotels, e.g. showering product in small bottle	⊠		⊠	0			
Others, including - umbrella bag	×		ĸ	0			
- supplementary tool sold together with a product for its usage / consumption, such as plastic straw attached to a paper beverage carton	X		×				
- other toiletries like plastic stemmed cotton buds	×		X				
 miscellaneous items such as signage for meetings, conventions and exhibitions 	Ā		×				
- others (please specify)							

Hong Kong Institute of Qualified Environmental Professionals Limited (HKIQEP) 香港合資格環保專業人員學會有限公司(香港環專會)

Annex III - Views Collection Form

Question (3):	Enhancir	ng existir	ng measure - the	Plastic Shopping	g Bag Cha	arging Scheme
(3.1):	-	_	at the current ex oodstuff in airtigh			oping Bag (PSB) carrying ed?
Answer:						
☑ Yes				□No		
(3.2):	-	_	at foodstuff alrea with free PSB?	ady fully wrapped	by non-a	airtight packaging should
Answer:						
				□No		
(3.3):	-	_	-			rrying foodstuff not fully ts sold at wet market)?
Answer:						
▼Yes, I agree exempted	ee only <u>ONE</u> PSB is nee		☐ No, we shou number of ex to be provide	xempted PSB	spec	consider (please ify the number) pted PSBs should be ded.
(3.4):	What is (HKD)?	the min	nimum charging	level that can d	iscourage	e you from using a PSB
Answer:						
□ \$1		□ \$1.5	5	☑ \$2		others: (please specify)
Question (4):	content of	a single		duct is provided	by the m	d percentage of recycled nanufacturer, it would be on?
Answer:						
Yes				□No		



香港合資格環保專業人員學會有限公司(香港環專會)

Question (5): Do you agree there is a need to develop a platform for sharing information on plastic

Annex III - Views Collection Form

alternatives among different stakeholders (including businesses, material suppliers and consumers)?							
Answer:							
Yes		□No					
Question (6): When there a of the following	are different bra ng green consid				andise. Which		
Answer: Please refer to the	ne comments r		aragraph on		ducts to be C		
Considerations	Strongly affected	Slightly affected	Not very affecte	Not at all affected	Can't say/ don't know		
Whether the product can be re-used (e.g. reusable metal cutlery vs single-use plastic cutlery for parties, reusable umbrella bags vs disposable umbrella bags)							
Whether "green material" is used (e.g. products and packaging with recycled content)							
The brand's "corporate environmental responsibility" (e.g. the brand offers "take-back" service for the collection and subsequent recycling of their products)							
Whether the product is not over-packaged							
Question (7): One of the reasons that plastics are so commonly used is their comparatively cheap price. Replacing plastics by non-plastic / reusable alternatives may drive up the costs of the products. To reduce the use of single-use plastics, are you willing to pay more? If yes, assuming that a single-use plastic item costs \$10, how much are you willing to pay for the same product made from non-plastic / reusable alternatives? Answer: Please refer to the comments made in the paragraph on "Other Issues". less than \$0.5 (i.e. \$0.5 - 1 (i.e. 5 \$1.1 - 1.5 (i.e. 11 more than \$1.5 (i.e. more than product price) 15% of product price)							